



## EVALUATION FORM FOR NEW RRH PROJECTS OF THE COC PR-502 2017

Name of the Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the Project: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORE</b>	<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
1. Submitted Letter of Intent for Proposals on or before the deadline established by the COC.	SI, begin with the first question.  NO, proposal is rejected.		<b>If a Letter of Intent was not submitted by the deadline established in the Public Announcement, the proposal will be automatically rejected.</b>
2. Submitted the proposal on time in ESNAPS on or before the deadline established by the COC.	Submitted the proposal on time.  Did not submit the proposal on time.		<b>The deadline was August 28, 2017.</b>
3. Submitted certifications required in the NOFA.	Submitted the certifications.  Did not submit the certifications.	(5 points)  (0 points)	<b>Certification of Consistency, Certification of Good Standing as a Non-Profit Entity.</b>
4. Has a Code of Good Conduct Approved by HUD.	Yes, has a Code of Conduct.  No, does not have a Code.	YES  NO	<b>If there is no Code of Good Conduct, the proposal will be rejected.</b>
5. Participation in the Coordinated Entry System.	Yes, participates in CES.  No, does not participate.	YES  NO	<b>If NO is marked, the proposal will be rejected.</b>
6. Monitoring or audit findings.	The organization has no monitoring or audit findings.  The organization has been monitored and has a corrective action plan or monitoring or audit findings.  Has monitoring or audit findings with no corrective action plan.	(5 points)  (3 points)  (0 points)	



## EVALUATION FORM FOR NEW RRH PROJECTS OF THE COC PR-502 2017

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORE</b>	<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
7. The Type of Project gives priority to homeless Families with Children and unaccompanied youth.	Demonstrates priority for homeless families with children or unaccompanied youth.  Does not give priority to these populations.	(5 points)  (0 points )	
8. The project uses a Housing First Model, as established by HUD.	Meets all the criteria of the Housing First Model.  Does not meet requirements of the Housing First Model.	(5 points)  (0 points)	
9. Geographic location in accordance with the needs of the CoC PR-502, as reflected in the PIT Count.	The municipality where the project is located reflects need, as per PIT Count and HIC.  The municipality reflects litter or no need.	(5 points)  (0 points)	
10. From where is the project going to receive the participants?	From a place not appropriate for living; the Street and emergency shelters; persons who meet the definition of PHS, including persons fleeing from violence; residents in a TH eliminated from the 2017 competition and persons who receive VA services and meet the initial VA evaluation criteria.  Others, different from those mentioned above.	(5 points)  (0 points)	
11. Viability of the Project to begin immediately, once same is approved by HUD.	If the project can be implemented in a period of 6 months or less.  If the project requires more than 6 months to begin providing services.	(5 points)  (0 points)	
12. Evaluation of Costs vs housing beds.	The project is cost effective.  Not as cost effective as other projects submitted.	(5 points)  (0 points)	Divide the amount requested annually by the number of beds.



## EVALUATION FORM FOR NEW RRH PROJECTS OF THE COC PR-502 2017

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORE</b>	<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
13. Sources of Matching Funds.	Demonstrates a 25% of the total requested in Sources of Matching Funds.	(5 points)	
	Does not demonstrate 25% of the total requested in Matching Funds.	(0 points)	
14. Support services.	Provides three or more support services, such as: case management, transportation, counseling, life skills and child care, among others.	(5 points)	
	Provides two support services such as case management, among others.	(2 points)	
	Provides one or no support service.	(0 points)	
15. Includes a specific Plan to ensure that the participants obtain other benefits.	Helps participants to obtain benefits for which they are eligible, such as Nutritional Assistance, SS, Health Insurance, Educational and Employment Services.	(5 points)	
	Does not indicate that benefits for clients are coordinated.	(0 points)	
16. Satisfactory history of the Organization working with homeless populations.	Has 4 years or more of satisfactory experience in the administration of projects for PSH with federal funds.	(5 points)	An unsatisfactory history includes organizations with negative monitoring or audit findings that are not resolved or that have lost funds of a prior project.
	Has 2 to 3 years 11 months of satisfactory experience in the administration of projects for PSH with federal funds.	(3 points)	
	Has less than 2 years of experience or an unsatisfactory history.	(0 points)	
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			

Maximum score per question: (5) points; a lower score can be given based on considerations of the Evaluation Committee.



## EVALUATION FORM FOR NEW RRH PROJECTS OF THE COC PR-502 2017

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### Recommendation:

Recommended: \_\_\_\_\_ Not recommended: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date