



## EVALUATION FORM FOR COC PR-502 2017 PROPOSALS NEW PROJECTS- PH

Name of the Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the Project: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>				
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORING</b>		<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
1. Submission of the Letter of Intent for the Proposal on or before the deadline established by the COC.	Yes, go on the next question.  <b>NO, the proposal is rejected.</b>	N/A		<b>In the Letter of Intent is not submitted by the date established in the Public Announcement, the proposal will be automatically rejected.</b>
2. Submission of the proposal on time in ESNAPS on or before the date established by the COC.	Yes, go to the next question.  <b>NO, the proposal is rejected.</b>	N/A		<b>The deadline for submitting the proposal in ESNAPS is August 28, 2017.</b>
3. Submission of the certifications required in the NOFA.	Submitted the certifications.  Did not submit the certifications.	(5 points)  (0 points)		<b>Certification of Consistency, “Good Standing” as a Non-Profit Entity.</b>
4. Has a Code of Conduct approved by HUD.	Yes, has a Code of Conduct.  No, does not have a Code.	Yes  No		<b>If there is no Code of Conduct, the proposal will be rejected.</b>
5. Participation in the Coordinated Entry System.	Yes, participates in the CES.  No, does not participate.	Yes  No		<b>If No is marked, the proposal will be rejected.</b>
6. Findings of monitoring or audit activities.	Yes, the organization has no monitoring or audit findings.  Yes, the organization has a corrective action plan to address monitoring or audit findings.  Has monitoring or audit findings without a corrective action plan.	(5 points)  (3 points)  (0 points)		
7. The Type of Project is directed to Permanent Supportive Housing (Dedicated Plus)	PSH and 100% of beds are dedicated to serve disabled individuals and families with at least one disabled adult or child.	(5 points)		



## EVALUATION FORM FOR COC PR-502 2017 PROPOSALS NEW PROJECTS- PH

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>				
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORING</b>		<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
<p>where 100% of beds are dedicated to serve individuals and families with at least one adult or child, including un-accompanied youth.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>The Type of Project is directed to Permanent Supported Housing for 100% chronically homeless individuals and families.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>PSH and 100% of the participants are chronic.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(0 points)</p>		
<p>8. The project uses a Housing First Model as established by HUD.</p>	<p>Meets all criteria of the Housing First Model.</p> <p>Does not meet the requirements of the Housing First Model.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(5 points)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(0 points)</p>		
<p>9. Geographic location is consonant with the needs of the CoC PR-502 reflected in the PIT Count.</p>	<p>The municipality where the project will be located reflects needs, according to the PIT Count and HIC.</p> <p>The municipality reflects Little or no need.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(5 points)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(0 points)</p>		
<p>10. Where will the participants come from?</p>	<p>PSH Dedicated Plus: (a) that reside in a TH that was eliminated but who were chronically homeless when they entered the TH; (b) From the street, emergency shelters or safe havens; (c) who receive VA assistance and who meet one of the VA evaluation criteria for chronic homeless</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(5 points)</p>		



## EVALUATION FORM FOR COC PR-502 2017 PROPOSALS NEW PROJECTS- PH

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>				
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>SCORING</b>		<b>POINTS GIVEN</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
	PSH who are chronically homeless from the street.			
	Receive homeless persons from other places.	(0 points)		
11. Capability of the Project to begin immediately, once HUD approves same.	If the project can be implemented in a period of 6 months or less.	(5 points)		
	If the project requires more than 6 months to begin to provide services.	(0 points)		
12. Evaluation of Costs vs Housing Beds.	The project is more cost effective.	(5 points)		<b>Divide the amount requested annually by the number of beds. .</b>
	The project is not cost effective.	(0 points)		
13. Sources of Matching Funds.	Demonstrates 25% of the total requested in Matching Funds.	(5 points)		
	Does not demonstrate 25% of the total requested in Matching Funds.	(0 points)		
14. Support services for participants to ensure retention in PH.	Provides three or more support services, such as: case management, transportation, counseling, life skills and child care, among others.	(5 points)		
	Provides two support services such as case management, among others.	(2 points)		
	Provides one or no support services.	(0 points)		
15. Includes a specific Plan to ensure that participants obtain other benefits.	Helps participants obtain benefits for which they are eligible such as Nutritional Assistance, SS, Health Insurance, Educational or Employment Services.	(5 points)		
	Does not indicate that benefits for clients are coordinated.	(0 points)		



## EVALUATION FORM FOR COC PR-502 2017 PROPOSALS NEW PROJECTS- PH

EVALUATION CRITERIA				
CRITERIA	SCORING		POINTS GIVEN	COMMENTS
16. The proposal includes a specific services plan.	Yes, has a services plan.	Yes		
	No, does not have a plan.	No		
17. Positive history of the Organization working with homeless populations.	Has 4 or more years of satisfactory experience in the administration of projects for PSH financed with federal funds.	(5 points)		An unsatisfactory history includes organizations with negative monitoring or audit findings or unresolved issues or those that have lost funds of a prior project.
	Has 2 or 3 years and 11 months of satisfactory experience in the administration of projects for PSH with federal funds.	(3 points)		
	Has less than 2 years of experience or an unsatisfactory history.	(0 points)		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>				

Maximum score per criteria: (5) points; a lower score may be given based on the considerations of the Evaluation Committee.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Recommendation:**

Recommended: \_\_\_\_\_ Not recommended: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluation Committee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date